REPORT RESUMES

ED 015 028

APPENDIX, STUDIES I, II AND III. ORIGINAL INSTRUMENTS USED AND BIBLIOGRAPHY.

BY- WOLFF, MAX

REPORT NUMBER 0E0-141-61-1A

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.00 23P.

DESCRIPTORS- *MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTS, *KINDERGARTEN CHILDREN, TEACHER EVALUATION, *QUESTIONNAIRES, *PARENTS; QUESTION ANSWER INTERVIEWS, READINESS (MENTAL), HEAD START,

SEVEN INSTRUMENTS WERE USED TO TEST HEAD START
CHILDREN'S PROGRESS IN KINDERGARTENS IN NEW YORK CITY IN
1965. ONE OF THESE INSTRUMENTS WAS THE CALDWELL PRE-SCHOOL
INVENTORY. THE REMAINING SIX, DEVELOPED SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS
STUDY, APPEAR IN THIS APPENDIX. (THE THREE-PART STUDY CAN BE
FOUND UNDER THE FOLLOWING TITLES (PS DDD 281) SIX MONTHS
LATER. STUDY I, A COMPARISON OF CHILDREN WHO HAD HEAD START,
SUMMER, 1965, WITH THEIR CLASSMATES IN KINDERGARTEN, A CASE
STUDY OF THE KINDERGARTENS IN FOUR PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS,
NEW YORK CITY. (PS DDD 282) SIX MONTHS LATER. STUDY II,
FACTORS INFLUENCING THE RECRUITMENT OF CHILDREN INTO THE HEAD
START PROGRAM, SUMMER 1965, A CASE STUDY OF SIX CENTERS IN
NEW YORK CITY. (PS DDD 283) SIX MONTHS LATER. STUDY III,
LONG-RANGE EFFECT OF PRE-SCHOOLING ON READING ACHIEVEMENT.)
(LIST OF REFERENCES INCLUDED) (LG)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Max Wolff Prospect OED 14161 Ia.

APPENDIX

Studies I, II, and III.

Original Instruments Used And Bibliography

PS 000284

 ∞

02

15

00

ERIC

P.S.

Principal....

EVALUATION OF KG. CHILDREN'S READINESS TO ENTER FIRST GRADE

INSTRUCTIONS TO TEACHERS

To all Kindergarten Teachers:

We realize that few, if any, of your children are as yet ready to enter first grade. We want to know, however, the comparative readiness of the children in the kindergarten classes. For that reason, we are requesting that you evaluate all the children in your classes by their readiness for entrance into first grade in September, 1966, by following the procedure below:

1. WITH RESPECT TO OVERALL READINESS:

Make a list (on page ii) of all the children in your class (a separate list for each Kg class) in the order of their readiness for first grade. List those most ready first down to those least ready last. In some cases, two or three children may tie for the same rank. For these children, simply bracket the numbers you arbitrarily assign them to indicate that they do not differ essentially in their readiness for first grade.

Example: Rank Name

1.

about the

3.

4.

2. WITH RESPECT TO SPECIFIC ASPECTS OR CATEGORIES OF READINESS:

Please fill out the attached schedule for EACH child in each of your classes.

Procedure:

First read through the descriptions of the five choices given you in each category of readiness. You will notice that Choice number 3 is usually described simply as the "average" for this class. This middle group or "average" is defined as the one which describes the performance of the largest number of the children in this particular kindergarten class.

Decide in your mind what this "average" or most common performance is for the class in each of the readiness aspects. This "average" may be different for each of your classes but will always be represented by choice number 3.

Now consider the individual child whose schedule you are doing. If he falls into this middle, (average performance) group, check choice number 7. Is he shead of this middle group? If so, check either choice number 1 or 2 according to your evaluation of him with regard to this one aspect of readiness. If he is behind the children in the middle group, check either number 4 or 5, whichever you judge is more appropriate.

In this way, we will get not an <u>absolute</u> grade for each child, but a <u>comparative</u> rating which will show how he is doing in comparison with the other children in this particular kindergarten class with respect to each aspect or category of readiness for first grade work.

3. PLEASE NOTE:

For children who have a foreign language as their first language, try, insofar as possible, to discount the differences that arise from this cause. We wish to rank the children by their performance in the language that comes easiest to them, not by their freedom in the use of English.

Many thanks for your thoughtful cooperation in completing the schedule.

RANKING ARRAY FOR KINDERGARTEN CLASS

List of children in the class in the order of those most ready to enter first grade in Sept. 1966 to those least ready to do so. Bracket ties.

RANK	NAME OF CHILD
1.	••••••••••
2.	•••••••
3.	•••••••••
4.	•••••••••
5.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
6.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
8.	•••••••••••••
9.	••••••••••••
10.	•••••••
11.	•••••••••
12.	••••••••••
13.	••••••••
14.	•••••••••••
15.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
16.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
17.	*************************
18.	••••••
19.	************
20.	************************
21.	••••••
22.	***************************************
23.	**********************
24.	***********************
25.	•••••••••

wg class	Child's name
C. ACHIEVEMENT:	
1. Speech - (Judge child's speec	h in the language he normally speaks at home.)
COMPARATIVE RATING: (Check o	
(1) responds in sentence	form to questions; easily understood by teacher and
	peers. r occasional sentences; readily understood by teacher
····(3) ability to communicat	e and be understood is average for the class. Licit or limited to single words, occasional phrases
	often not understood by teacher or peers. nally, usually not understood by teacher or peers.
2. Work habits -	
COMPARATIVE RATING: (Check or	ne.)
(1) perseveres and complet(2) needs support but comp(3) average perseverance a(4) poor approach to tasks(5) shows least offort or	nd success in completion of tasks.
3. Listening habits - as in story	-telling time.
COMPARATIVE RATING: (Check one	•)
(3) average listener	content, participates in discussion. content, does not participate as fully as (1) et, but does not hear much of content, rarely
	participates. being quiet or in absorbing content.
4. <u>Understanding of concepts</u> - (N is to be treated a lit concept, please record	NOTE TO TEACHER: This next group of six basic concepts the differently. On the dotted line in front of each a rank ranging from (1) best understanding of concept ding of concept by this child.
COMPARATIVE RATING: (Enter a ra	ting from (1) to (5) for <u>each</u> concept)
a. knowledge of self: knowledge of others: k c. knowledge of color: can d. understanding of form: e. understanding of number	ws name, age, sex, parts of body. nows teacher's name, names of classmates. select objects by color on request. can match shapes, such as cylindrical blocks, triangular or square objects. and size concepts: knows EQUAL number of objects, greater or less than, bigger and smaller, heavier
s and in an anacistanding of time co	oncept: uses and/or understands time words, such as soon, later, yesterday, tomorrow; relates past events, talks about a sequence of future events.
Attendance record through December:	normal for class
Date of admission to your class	
Child's general health:	····good ····average ····poor
Please record any MAJOR mental, emot	
	Mental
	Emotional
en e	Physical
	No major impairments

D.

E.

F.

INTERVIEWER'S NAME	•••••
Date of Interview	

Max Wolff Project OEO 14161 Ia

KINDERGARTEN TEACHER INTERVIEW

- 1. What proportion of your A.M. class and of your P.M. class attended Head Start last summer?
- 2. At the start of this school year, did you observe any differences between those children who had and those who had not had Head Start?

If yes, when during the school year did the difference tend to disappear?

- 3. What effect, if any, did the fact that some of the children had had H-S have on the functioning of the class (a) in the first few weeks of the term?

 (b) later on?

 (a,b,c.)
- 4. Have you had to modify the basic curriculum in any way because of the H-S children's experience?
- 5. In what way, if any, did H-S children differ from non-H-S children in their relationship with their classmates; in the teacher-child relationship?
- 6. In your opinion, what are the most important things a youngster learns in Kg that prepare him for first grade work?
- 7. If you taught in H-S last summer, please compare H-S with Kg? (include class-size and its effect, staffing, basic philosophy, tone, curriculum.)
- 8. What are your recommendations for improving the Head Start program?

INSTRUCTIONS TO INTERVIEWER: Take close notes, verbatim where possible. Draw longitudinal line on legal pad; left side for A.M. class, right side for P.M. class, write across the pad where answer is independent of which class is being discussed. Record answers by question number. Attach this demographic sheet to your report for each teacher.

	9. Did you teach in HS last summer
	10.yrs as teacher
	11.yrs in this school
	12.What other schools
on the fortune or any other against the property of the same of th	13.yrs as a Kg teacher
	14.0ther grades taught
The second secon	15.Live near school
	16.Languages 17.Languages under- spoken ges under- stood but
	17. Lar. ua ges under- stood but not spoken

ERIC Full fact Provided by ERIC

Project 1A OEO 141-61

Questionnaire for individual interviews with Head Start children.

- 1. Do you remember the school you went to before this, when you had a different teacher?
- 2. Di. you have fun there?
- 3. Did you do a lot?
- 4. What did you like best?
- 5. Do you have fun now?
- 6. Do you do a lot now?
- 7. What do you like best about kindergarten?
- 8. Which class did you like better? Why?

March 15, 1966

Dear Kindergarten Parent:

Last summer, the U.S. Federal Government sponsored a program for children who were going to enter Kindergarten in September, 1965. This program was called "Head Start."

The Federal Covernment is interested in what the parents of kindergarten children think about the Head Start program, even if their children did not attend Head Start. The purpose is to improve the program for the children who will be going to it next summer.

Since you have a child now in Kindergarten, we would like to have an opportunity to get your ideas and opinions about what should be done. We have asked one of our interviewers to visit you in your home. The names of the interviewers are listed below. He or she will reach you to make an appointment for the interview and will have a letter of identification signed by me when he visits you.

We hope we may have your cooperation in this study. Its results should be of importance to your children and to others to come.

Thank you for giving us your time and your thoughtful consideration of our questions. All information you give us will be kept confidential, and will be used only for this study without any names.

If you have any aquestions about the study, please call 255-5600 Extension 424. We will be glad to answer.

Interviewers:

Yours very sincerely,

Mr. David Walker

Mr. Armando Escobar

Mrs. Bernice Lyons

Mr. Isaac Perez

ERIC

Miss Ellen Rothenberg

May Wolff

Dr. Max Wolff, Director

SI USTED PREFERIERE LEER ESTE MENSAJE EN ESPAÑOL, FAVOR DE VIVAR LA PÁGINA.

15 de marzo de 1966

Querido padre de niños del sistema pre-escolar:

El verano pasado, el gobierno de los Estados Unidos auspició un programa para los niños que van a entrar en Kindergarten en septiembre 1965. Este programa fue llamado "Head Start".

El gobierno federal está interesado en lo que los padres de los niños de Kindergarten piensan del programa Head Start, aunque si sus niños no asistan Head Start. El propósito es de mejorar el programa para los niños que asistirán el próximo verano.

Como Ud. tiene un niño que ahora está en Kindergarten, nos gustaría tener la oportunidad de recibir sus ideas y opiniones de lo que debe hacerse. Hemos solicitado que uno de nuestros entrevistadores visite su casa. Los nombres de los entrevistadores aparecen abajo. El o ella le llamará para hacer una cita para la entrevista y tendrá una carta de identificación firmada por micuando le visite.

Esperamos tener su cooperación en este estudio. Los resultos serán de importancia para sus niños y para otros que vendrán.

Les damos las gracias por su tiempo y su atenta consideración a nuestras preguntas. Toda la información que Uds. nos den será confidencial y será usada solamente para este estudió, sin nombres.

Si tienen Uds. preguntas acerca de este estudio, favor de llamar 255-5600, extensión 424. Con mucho gusto en contestarlas.

Sinceramente,

Los entrevistadores:

Mr. David Walker

Mr. Armando Escobar

Mrs. Bernice Lyons

Mr. Issac Pérez

Miss Ellen Rothenberg

Max Wolff

HOME INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE - HEAD START PARENTS

Child's name	Δ∂∂π	1000	
78- 79- 82- IBM ID#	Mother	••••••	Date of interview
1-12-13-14-15-	Guardian	••••••	6-/
Respondent's name		Relationship	
(From observation)		to child	7-[]
NonPR Negro -1 PR -2 NonPR white -3	S <u>r</u> Er Ot	oken in home: 9-1 nglish -1 panish -2 ng.& Sp3 cher -4	3. Fluency in English: 16-/ Fluent -1 Adequate -2 Poor -3 None -4
4. Children, 18 or under,		5.	Father Mother
name Age Scho	Grade school	Highe comp.	ation:
3	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	6.Fam:	ily income, 1965 (approx.) 25-/
4		PER WI	EEK CR ANNUALLY
5		or PER MC	Under \$3,000 -1 \$3 to under \$5,000 -2 \$5 to under \$7,000 -3 WITH \$7 to under \$10,000 -4 \$10,000 and over -5 DK -6
9 10 11-/	/15- <u>/</u> 16- <u>/</u> 17-	Wag //18-// Wel	e than $\frac{1}{2}$ of income comes from: es -1 Pension -3 fare,1 Other -4 ADC -2
8. Why did you decide to sen			mer? (Verbatim)
. Which of these reasons, in			to you?
		*	~~~~~~~~~
			27

10. Was there any one person who decided you to register your child in H-S?	14. How did you hear about the H-S program?
and the second s	a.Public announcement:
Yes -]. Self -4 No -2	TV Radio Newspaper
DR -3	Poster None DR (where)
11. If YES, was this person: 31-// 32-// a. Public school H-S Center Unofficial	b.Letter from school? YES NO DR 41-
Principal -1 Head T -4 Community org1	c. Official home visitor? Y N DR 42-/
Teacher -2 Teacher -5 Family -2	If Yes, specify:
Other -3 Fam. Asst -6 Weighbor -3	
(Specify) Par. Cor7 Friend -4	School person:
Other -8 other -5	H-S staff person:
h. Te official shows std was and the	Was the visitor: 43 44
b. If official, where did you meet him or her?	NonPR N -1 Sp. Speaking From area
33-	PR -2 Yes Yes
c. Was he or she: 34-/ 35-/	NonPR wh -3 No No
NonPR N -I Sp-Speak. From this area?	DK -4 DR · DR
Way TO	d. Did you hear about H-S in any other
77	way? 45- <u></u>
DR -4 DR DR	
12. Did anyone (not school or center person)	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
ENCOURAGE you to send your child? Y N DR	
*	15. In your opinion, what is the best way
beautiful	to bring more children into H-S next summer?
Church1	46-77
Parents' assn2	
Other organization3 Family4	
Neighbor5	
Friend6	16. On the whole, was the effect of H-S on
Others7	your child
	Very good -1
b. What was the main reason given? 37-	Fair -2
	Mixed, good and
	bad -3 Waste of time -4
~	Waste of time -4 Very bad -5
(Verbatim)	No opinion -6
	Comment:
13. Did anyone (not school or center person)	
DISCOURAGE you from sending your child? Y'N DR	
a. If so, specify:	
a. If so, specify:	17. Did your child have a hard time leaving
Church	you at the beginning of H-S? 48-
Parents' Assn2	
Other organization3	Yes -1
Family4	A little -2 No -3
Neighbor5	DR -4
Friend6	
Others7	Comment:
b. What was the main reason given? 39-//	*************
	18. About how long did it take him (her) to
	get used to going to the Center and leaving
	you? 49
(Verbatim)	
(verbatim)	(Days or weeks)
·	(pm) or weems)

ERIC

	iome becau				goes to now?			schod yo	57-
	Improve No chan	d behavior		1		Yes		-1	
		ehavior		2		Fair	ly welcom		
	DR	SUMATOR		3		No	-, """	2 - 3	
Comment:	DEZ.		•••	4			pinion	-3 -4	
			100 MG 500 WA				**************************************	·	
## ## ## ## ## ###			****	50-/	26. How does Kg coming attitud	. compa	re with H	8 in its	wel-
0. Did your ch	ild learn	new thing	s at	the H-S	children?		58-Z	j intere	59- <u>/</u>
Center?			_	51-/_	7	iore th	an i	ess than	
	Many Quite a	h.t 4	-]		****	H-S	Same	H-8	DK
	A little		-2		Welcome to	4			
		e very litt:	,		parenta	-1	-2	-3	-4
	DR	very rice.			Interest in children	•	_		
Comment:	244			,	curidren	-1	-2	-3	-4
47 to 40 mg mg				*****	27. What activit				
				• •• - •• ••	27. What activit spouse joined	y, II a in the	ny, nave	you or y	our
Did was take						TH CHE	present s	CUOOTI	
. Did you tak	e part in	the H-S wo	rk?	Spouse?	<u>Activity</u>		Freque		
Activity	52	frequency	Z	53-/	Go to meeting	_	Mother	Father	,
			_		Help on trips		•••••	•••••	
Go to meet:	lnaa	Mother	Fa	ther	Help at schoo		• • • • • •	•••••	
Help on tr		• • • • • •		• • • • •	Disc.ch w. Le		*****	• • • • •	
Help at sci		• • • • • •		• • • •	Talk to other				
Discuss chi		•••••		• • • • •	Other (Specify		•••••	• • • • •	
Tead						, ,			
Talk to oth		• • • • • •		• • • • •	No activity				
Other (Spec		• • • • • •		• • • • •	DR			• • • • •	
- 1-1-	<i>y</i>	** - ** ** ** **					60-/	,	61 - /-
No activity	•	• • • • • •	_						61-/
DR					28. Are you sati	isfied v	with the B	g, your	child
Companies	0		<u> </u>		arrends? How c	loes it	compare w	ith his	(her)
. Comparing H-	o and Kg.	, ald your	chi	ld have	Head-Start clas	38?			
as hard a time						O		Compared	
	Easier to	o Kg.	-1	54			of KG.	Head S	
	About the		-2		g . Chud she	m-	62-/_/		65-/
		an to H-S	-3		a. Strictness	Too mu	ich -1 M	ore th.	H-S-1
· Hora do	DR		-4	C	of discip- line	Just 1	ight -2 S	ame as H	-S -2
How do you	account fo	or it?			TIME	NOT er	ough 3 L	ess th.	H-S-3
							nion -4 N	o opinio	n -4
2 77 14 1					b. Amount child		63		66-/
3. How did he				k?	learns	Too mu	ch -1 M	ore th. 1	H-S-1
	Faster th	nan to H-S	-1	55-		Just r	ight -2 S	ame as H	-S -2
	About the		-2			Not en	ough -3 L	ess than	HS-3
	More slow	ıly	-3			No opi	nion -4 No		
omment?	DR		-4		C. Ann nucle		64-/_/	•	57
oument!			·		c. Any preju- dice (race	A good	deal-1 Mo	ore th. H	I-S -:
					or ethnic)	Not mu	ch -2 Sa	me as H-	-S -2
4. Comparing 3	our H-S c	hild with	your	older	or orimite)	Very 1	ittle-3 Le	ess th. H	1-S -3
children, do	you see	any differ	ence	in his		None		opinion	· -4
learning pro	gress in	Kg.?		56		NO OPI	nion -5		
	Better pr	_	-1		Comment:		· • * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	About the	same	-2	i					
	Slower pr		-3						
	Cont com	are or DK		ŀ	- * *				
	Caur Comb	are or DV		1					
	Carre Comp.	are or DK	-4						
	Cane Comp	are or br		1					

ERIC

April Salary & Commercial

29. Did your child have a teacher who was of a minority group or who could speak Spanish in either Kg. or H-S? YES NO DK 68-/	34. In general, how much education do p.4 you think a child needs to get along in the world today? Blementary school (8th) -1 Some high school -2
30. In your opinion, how important is it to have Negro or P.R. teachers for your child? To have teachers who can speak Spanish? N. PR. speaking	High Sch. graduation -3 Some college -4 College graduation -3 Professional school -6 Technical post-high -7 DK -8
Very important -1 -1 -1 Good, but not nec- essary -2 -2 -2 Makes no difference -3 -3 -3 No opinion -4 -4 -4	35. What are your suggestions for what should be done to improve the H-S program for the children next summer? 76-
69-/	
21 To both II C and Ja Warmer 1 1 1	
31. In both H-8 and in Kg. your child went to a class where the children were	
Makes it a better school -1 Makes no difference -2 Makes it a poorer school -3 No opinion -4 Comment:	
32. Have you thought of any plans for your Kg. child's future? Profession Non-prof. goal Too early to say Up to him to say No opinion -5	
33. If you have a definite goal for your Kg. child, how much education do you think he will need to reach this goal? 74-	
Elementary school -1 High school graduation -2 College, or other post-high-3 More	

HOME INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE - NONHEADSTART PARENTS

Child's name	Address	AptFlPhone
Pair #		Interviewer
IBM ID# 78- 79- 80-	Mother	Date of Int
1- 2- 3- 4- 5-	Guardian	6-27
Respondent's name	Relation to child	ship 7-//
(From observation)		
1. Ethnic: 8-//	2. Language spoken i	n home: 9-/_/. Fluoncy in English
NonPR Negro -1	English Spanish	
PR -2	Eng. & Sp.	Poor -3
NonPR white -3	Other	-4 None -4
/ Children 18 and 13		
4. Children, 18 or under, li		5. <u>Father</u> <u>Mother</u>
First Age Sch	ool Grade Sch sch	
		Highest sch gr
1	1 1	completed: 21-/ 24-/
2	l I	
<i></i>		firmmanns.
4	••••	
5	•	to under #5,000 -2 را OR #5 to under #7,000 -2
6		PER MONTH #7 to under #10,000 -4
7		#10,000 and over -5
8		7. More than ½ of incomes from:
9		Wages -1 Pension -5
10.		Welfare Other
11-//12-//1,-///14-///15	=	or ADC -24
11-//12-//15-//15	0-7 /10-7 /17-5 /18-5 /	26-//
8. Did you ever hear of the I	lead-Start program 9.	(IF YES, but too late) 28-//
for 5-year old children that		· Marvai
schools last summer?	27-/-/	Do you think you would have sent your child to Head-Start if you
Y es No	- <u>i</u> a'-'	had heard about it in time?
DR	27-/_/ -la -2 -3	Yes -1 No -2
(IF YES): Did you hear abo	out it	DK -5
(June) before it began		NTERVIEWER: CONTINUE QUESTIONS IN
(Jul.Au)while it was or (Sept.) after it was ov	- / _	EGULAR ORDER ONLY IF RESPONDENT KNEW
Don't remember when	-1 A	BOUT H-S IN TIME TO REGISTER CHILD.
		therwise, skip to Question # 16.

10. Why did you decide NOT to send your child	to Head-Start last summer? (Verbatim)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
11. Which of these reasons, in your opinion, (1)	
12. Did anyone ENCOURAGE you to send your child to H-S? YES NO DR 32-//	d 14. How did you hear about the H-S program
a. If so, specify: School person	a. Public announcement?  TV Radio Newspaper  Poster None DR
Head-Start person	(Where?)
Parents Assn	b. Letter from school? YES NO DR 37-//
Family	c. Official home visitor? YES NO DR 38-/
Neighbor 	H-S person Other
Friend	If YES, was the visitor:-
b. What was the main reason given? 53-//	NonPR N -1   Sp.Speaking?   From area   Yes   Yes   No   No   DR   DR
13. Did anyone DISCOURAGE you from sending your child to Head-Start? 34-//	d. Did you hear about H-S in any other way?
a. If so, specify:	
School person 	
Church	15. In your opinion, what is the best way to bring more children into H-S next summer?
Parents Assn	
Other organization	42-/_/
Neighbor	16. Do you feel welcome in the school your child goes to new?
Friend 	Yes -1 Fairly welcome -2
b. What was the main reason given? 35-//	No opinion -4
(Verbatim)	

ERIC Provided by ERIC

17. What activity, if any, have you or your spouse joined in the present school?  Activity  Go to meetings Help on trips Help at school Discuss child with teacher Talk to other staff Other (Specify)  No activity DR	21. Your child goes to a kindergarten class where all the children are In your opinion, does this fact have any effect on the education your child gets?  Makes it a better school -1  Makes no difference -2  Makes it a poorer school -)  No opinion -4  Comment:
18. Are you satisfied with the Kindergarten your child now attends?  a.Strictness of discipline too much -1  just right -2  not enough -3 no opinion -4	22. Have you thought of any plans for your Kg. child's future?  Profession  Non-profess. goal  Too early to say  Up to him to say  No opinion  Comment:
b.Amount child learns  47-//	23. If you have a definite goal, how much education do you think he will need to reach this goal?  Elementary (8th gr) -1  High school graduation -2  College, or other post- high school -3  More
19. Did your child have a teacher who was of a minority group (Negro or PR) or who could speak Spanish? YES NO DK 49-//  If YES, specify:  Kindergarten	24. In general, how much education do you think a child needs to get along in the world today?  Elementary school (8th) -1 Some high school -2 High Sch. graduation -3 Some college -4 College graduation -5 Professional school (Dr. Lawyer, Engineer) -6
20. In your opinion, how important is it to have Negro or P.R. teachers for your child? To have teachers who can speak Spanish?  N PR Span- Span- Span- Speaking  Very important -1 -1 -1 Good but not necessary -2 -2 -2 Makes no difference -3 -3 -3 No opinion -4 -4 -4  50-//51-//52-// Comment:	Technical post-high -7 Other8

ERIC

## Teacher Observation Schedule

Key: 1-most effective 5-least effective

### i. <u>sķilļ</u>

A. Presentation of material:
(clarity, language, evidence of planning, flexibility, originality.) Examples:
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Examples:

- B. Content:
   (knowledge of subject, appropriateness of matter, relationship to children's experience.)
  1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
- C. Involvement of children:
   (participation of children,
   awareness of children's interest,
   individual attention, ability to
   communicate with Sp-speaking
   children.)
   1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
- D. Room arrangements:
   (availability of materials, extent of materials present, use of floor space.)
   1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

#### Level of activity allowed: Examples: Limits of independence of movement: Flexible, wide to rigid, narrow 1. 2. 3. 4. Level of noise permitted Busy, conversational to absolute aulet or total disorder 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Examples: discipline; frequency of B. Control techniques Most effective to least effective simple directives..... 2. 3. 4. Most permissive to most direct praise..... rigid 1. 2. 3. scolding.... Discipline: reaction to stress depriving.... direct threat..... Other examples: promises.... signals..... threaten withdrawal of affection.... physical control.... use of word "shame"..... removal from group....... moralizing.... calling on outside authority..... calling for competition among children ...... request to please T, or statement of T's pleasure..... pointing out a child or group as positive model.....

Observer sch. p.2

pointing out a child or group

as negative model

II. Style and Tone of teacher

C. Transitions between activities:
Smooth to chaotic
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Observation schedule-p.3
Examples:

Routines: (milk, getting on line, rest, leaving room)

Smooth to chaotic

1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

D. Teacher's manner.
Most professional Least professional
and objective to and subjective
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

(self-pitying, demanding, patronizing, or cheerful, approachable, confident)

E. Attitude toward children:

7

Respect for and Contempt for liking for children to and dislike of 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Observation schedule p.4

III. Bias

for or against Head Start ethnic or racial bias other

A. Partiality:

Examples:

- 1. Individual:
  (Special attention, criticism given individual children)
  1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
  None to most
- None to most

  2. Groups of children:
  Equal treatment to special treatment
  1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
- B. Use of materials, books, pictures, etc. on race or ethnic:

  Some.....

  Few.....

  None....
- C. Degree of communication with non-English speaking children:
  Equal communication to ignoring
  1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
- D. Evidences of racial or ethnic bias:

#### Allocation of Time

Curriculum

Dicussion
Storytelling(T or children)
Language development
Number concepts
Science
Music
Art

Observation I <u>Minutes</u>

II

Routines

Health
Play period
Attendence
Recess
Milk and cookies
Rest

Transitions
Discipline (Individual)
(Group)

Interruptions

Other

Total

ERIC

#### References

- American Child, 1965, 48, 2. Head start or False start?

  Entire issue discusses benefits and shortcomings of Head Start.
- Bloom, R., Whiteman, M. and Deutsch, M. Race and social class as separate factors related to social environment. Amer. J. Sociol., 1965, Lxx,4,471-476.
- Caldwell, Bettye M. and Soule, D. The Preschool Inventory.
  Syracuse, Upstate Medical Center, 1965.
- Clark, Kenneth B. <u>Dark Ghetto</u>. New York: Harper and Row, 1965.
- Deutsch, M. The disadvantaged child and the learning process. In H. Passow(Ed.), Education in depressed Areas. New York: Teachers College Bureau of Publications, 1963, 163-179.
- Deutsch, M. Early social environment: its influence on school adaptation. In D. Schreiber(Ed.), The school dropout. Washington, D.C.: Nat. Educ. Ass., 1964, 89-100.
- Deutsch, M. Nursery education: the influence of social programming on early development. J. Nursery Educ., 1963, 19,3,191-197.
- Deutsch, M. Social and psychological perspectives on the development of the disadvantaged learner. J. Negro Educ. Yearbook, 1964, 33,3, 232-244.
- Eisenberg, L., M.D. and Conners, C.K. The effect of Headstart on developmental processes. Presented at 1966 Joseph P. Kennedy Jr. Foundation Scientific Symposium on Mental Retardation, Boston, April, 1966. This paper stated that significant gains had been made by Headstart Youngsters on the PPVT and the Draw a Person tests during the summer program.
- Goldstein, K.M. and Chorost, S.B. A preliminary evaluation of nursery school experience on the later school adjustment of culturally disadvantaged children. Report prepared for the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 1966. They found no difference in later school functioning between the children that had had kindergarten and those that had attended other pre-school settings. Children with no Kg. or preschool do poorer in reading and arithmetic.

- Gordon, E. What did we learn? American Child, 1966,48, 2, 11-13.
- Knoll, E. Project Head Start limps along to Popularity.

  <u>Southern Education Report</u>, 1966, 8-11.
- Kraft, I. Are we overselling the pre-school idea. Saturday Review of Literature, Dec. 18, 1965, 63-65.
- Leacock, Eleanor. Concepts from the field of anthropology of significance to elementary school guidance. Presented at The Invitational Conference on Elementary School Guidance at the U.S. Office of Education, Washington, D.C., March, 1965.
- Leacock, E. Methodological problems in studying the culture of Schools(Unpublished manuscript.)
- Leacock, E. Distortions of working class reality in American Social Science. Presented at First Annual Socialist Scholars Conference Columbia University, Sept., 1965.
- Leacock, E. Living and le Basic Books, forthcoming Fall of Bank St.

  College of Education studies sponsored by National Institute of Mental Health.
- Waller, D. and Conners, C.K. A follow-up study of intelligence changes in children who participated in Project Headstart. Unpublished manuscript based on Office of Eco. Opportunity Grant. Headstart children maintained verbal advantage. Differences in other area non-significant. Levelling effect appears to be taking place between children who had had HS and those that had not.
- Wilensky, H. Teaching styles in the nursery. Campus School Exchange. 1965, 21-24. He discusses the selection of specific elements of teacher behavior and effect upon pupil achievement and how these elements can be observed and recorded by using the Teacher Observation Scale developed by the Institute for Developmental Studies.